A mathematical model of osteoclast acidification during bone resorption

Frank V. Marcoline a,b, Yoichi Ishida c, Joseph A. Mindell d, Smita Nayak e, Michael Grabe a,b,*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 12 March 2016
Revised 16 August 2016
Accepted 9 September 2016
Available online 17 September 2016

Keywords:
Osteoclast
Mathematical model
Acidification
V-ATPase
CIC-7
H+-ATPase

ABSTRACT

Bone resorption by osteoclasts occurs through the creation of a sealed extracellular compartment (ECC), or pit, adjacent to the bone that is subsequently acidified through a complex biological process. The low pH of the pit dissolves the bone mineral and activates acid proteases that further break down the bone matrix. There are many ion channels, transporters, and soluble proteins involved in osteoclast mediated resorption, and in the past few years, there has been an increased understanding of the identity and properties of some key proteins such as the CIC-7 Cl−/H+ antiporter and the H+-ATPase. Here we present a detailed mathematical model of osteoclast acidification that includes the influence of many of the key regulatory proteins. The primary enzyme responsible for acidification is the vacuolar H+-ATPase (V-ATPase), which pumps protons from the cytoplasm into the pit. Unlike the acidification of small lysosomes, the pit is so large that protons become depleted from the cytoplasm. Hence, proton buffering and production in the cytoplasm by carbonic anhydrase II (CAII) is potentially important for proper acidification. We employ an ordinary differential equations (ODE)-based model that accounts for the changes in ionic species in the cytoplasm and the resorptive pit. Additionally, our model tracks ionic flow between the cytoplasm and the extracellular solution surrounding the cell. Whenever possible, the properties of individual channels and transporters are calibrated based on electrophysiological measurements, and physical properties of the cell, such as buffering capacity, surface areas, and volumes, are estimated based on available data. Our model reproduces many of the experimental findings regarding the role of key proteins in the acidification process, and it allows us to estimate, among other things, the number of active pumps, protons moved, and the influence of particular mutations implicated in disease.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Bone diseases such as osteoporosis [1], osteopetrosis [2], and Paget’s disease [3] are the result of an imbalance in the activity of bone-building osteoblasts and bone-resorbing osteoclasts. The most common therapies for the treatment of osteoporosis directly influence osteoclast activity, and these drugs include bisphosphonates and raloxifene. Unfortunately, these drugs only modestly reduce fracture risk [4–8], highlighting the need for new, more effective medications to help reduce osteoporosis morbidity and mortality. Most studies aimed at reducing excessive bone resorption by overactive osteoclasts have focused on changing the cell fate determination that leads to osteoclast formation or by inducing apoptosis of mature osteoclasts with bisphosphonates [1], while much less effort has been aimed at disrupting the acidic environment required for bone breakdown. The lack of research into halting acidification is due in part to the biochemical complexity, intricate geometry, and limited understanding of some of the transport proteins involved in osteoclast-mediated resorption.

Osteoclasts degrade bone through the creation of an acidic microenvironment that depends on many factors reviewed in Refs. [9,10] and summarized in Fig. 1. Osteoclasts first form a tight adhesion to the bone surface and then secrete protons and proton-activated hydrolytic enzymes into the extracellular compartment (ECC) formed between the bone and the osteoclast [9]. Acidification solubilizes the bone matrix and activates acid proteases, such as cathepsins [11], which then digest the organic bone matrix [9]. Osteoclasts contain a large number of lysosomes, and the formation of the ECC occurs through lysosomal fusion with the ruffled border [12], the portion of the cell membrane that faces the resorption pit. Acidification is carried out by the bafilomycin-sensitive, vacuolar ATPase (V-ATPase) [13,14], which hydrolyzes ATP to pump protons from the cytoplasm into the ECC [15]. Mutations in the genes encoding the V-ATPase cause a rare bone disease known as osteopetrosis [2,16].
The V-ATPase is electrogenic [15] so that a counterion flux is needed to prevent the buildup of a large membrane potential (\( \Psi \)), which would prevent acidification. The counterion was originally thought to be carried by passive chloride channels for both acidic lysosomes [17] and osteoclasts, and mutations in the gene encoding the ClC-7 chloride channel were found to cause severe osteopetrosis in humans and mice, making chloride the prime counterion candidate and ClC-7 the probable carrier [18]. Interestingly, it was later revealed that the ClC-7 gene encodes a Cl\(^-\)/H\(^+\) antiporter – not a channel [19]. The antiporter operates with a fixed stoichiometry that moves 2 Cl\(^-\) into the EEC for every 1 H\(^+\) returned to the cytoplasm [19]. Thus, as ClC-7 operates, it reduces the membrane potential, but counterintuitively causes alkalization of the extracellular pit. While the role that ClC-7 plays in acidifying lysosomes is controversial [19,20], mathematical models indicate that the antiporter facilitates greater lysosomal acidification than a simple chloride channel [21,22]. With regard to osteoclasts, deleterious mutations in ClC-7, or its \( \beta \)-subunit Ostm1, result in failure of the ECC to acidify [18,21,23]. Meanwhile, studies have revealed both inwardly and outwardly rectifying K\(^+\) currents [24,25], which may also play a role in aiding acidification through neutralization of the membrane potential. The efficacy of the V-ATPase is also limited by the degree of proton leak across the membrane of acidic compartments as shown for lysosomes [26] and organelles along the secretory pathway [27]. A likely candidate for the proton leak across the ruffled border is the voltage-gated H\(_v\)1 proton channel, which is present in osteoclasts [28] and explains why Zn\(^{2+}\), an H\(_v\)1 inhibitor, blocks cytoplasmic pH recovery after an acid load [29], and also inhibits bone resorption by isolated rat osteoclasts [30].

The most striking morphological feature of active osteoclasts are their intricate membrane folds and bristle-like surface projections that define the ruffled border [31]. These folds are thought to be important for enhancing resorption by increasing the surface-to-volume ratio of the ECC, which would allow for faster acidification of the pit by restricting its volume [32]. Also, since the ECC is large, volume restriction helps to reduce the depletion of protons in the cytoplasm. In all cellular spaces, changes in proton concentration are minimized through the intrinsic buffering capacity of the matrix as well as the buffering capacity of specific molecules such as bicarbonate. Together the enzyme carbonic anhydrase II (CAII) [33] and electroneutral Cl\(^-\)/bicarbonate transporters (AE2) [34,35] prevent alkalinization of the cytoplasm during resorption through the creation of cytoplasmic protons from carbon dioxide and water, and not surprisingly mutations or disruption of either gene causes the bone disease osteopetrosis [36,37]. In addition to the role that cellular content can play in proton buffering, non-permeant charged material, known as Donnan particles, can aid acidification through influencing the membrane potential [38].

Here we construct an ordinary differential equations (ODE)-based mathematical model of the acidification of the extracellular pit of an actively resorbing osteoclast. Since the cytoplasm is comparable in size to the ECC and the cytoplasmic proton drain is significant, we explicitly track the concentration changes of ions and relevant small molecules in both spaces, which is an advance over our previous models of organelle acidification, which ignored changes in cytoplasmic values [22,32]. The concentration changes are driven by chemical reactions specific to each space as well as fluxes across the plasma membrane and the ruffled border due to relevant channels and transporters. Proton concentration changes in the cytoplasm are influenced in the current model by Na\(^+\)/H\(^+\) exchangers (NHE) and Cl\(^-\)/bicarbonate exchangers (AE2); however, we recognize that other regulatory transporters are present such as Na\(^+\)-bicarbonate cotransport and Cl\(^-\)/hydroxide (OH\(^-\)) exchangers [29,39–41]. As described in the Theory and methods and illustrated in Fig. 2, when possible we use available electrophysiological data for each transporter or channel to calibrate the single protein ionic data, and additional parameter values that could not be gleaned from the literature.

We further tested to understand the role that individual components play in ensuring robust acidification.
Fig. 2. Transport properties of key channels and transporters. (A) V-ATPase proton pumping rate as a function of pH in the ECC and membrane potential across the ruffled border (Ψfl) based on a mechanochemical model of the transporter taken from Ref. [43]. (B) Single antipporter CIC-7 chloride flux into the pit as a function of Ψfl and chloride concentration in the ECC as per Eqs. (2) and (3), which were developed in Ref. [22] based on experimental data. (C) Hv1 open probability as a function of membrane voltage and cytoplasmic pH. The inset shows single-channel currents (dots from Ref. [48]) recorded under different cytoplasmic pH values at a constant pHfl of 7.5. The solid lines are single channel currents calculated from the model in Eq. (5) with P0,Hv1 = 1. (D) Single transporter turnover rates for NHE (green) and AE2 (blue) based on Eqs. (6) and (7), respectively, as a function of cytoplasmic pH. AE2 is active above pH 7, while NHE is active below pH 7.

2. Theory and methods

2.1. The mathematical model

We employ a continuum model of osteoclast driven acidification that tracks changes in two distinct compartments: the ECC and the cytoplasm, following a modeling framework established in our previous work on the acidification of organelles [22,32]. We consider the flux of molecules from the extracellular solution into the cytoplasm, but we assume that the external space is so large that concentrations are constant in time. We also assume that the ECC and cytoplasm are well-mixed environments such that spatial gradients within each compartment can be ignored. Future studies will rigorously probe the validity of this later assumption. In what follows, time-dependent variables track the number of particles, while variables in square brackets denote molar concentrations. Quantities with the subscript C are cytoplasmic, P are in the pit and E are extracellular. Flux values corresponding to chemical reactions and transport/channel mediated changes are provided in units of ions per second. We assume that exchange occurs between the ECC and cytoplasm and the extracellular solution and cytoplasm, but not directly between the extracellular solution and the ECC. Membrane proteins are localized to specific membranes, either the plasma membrane or the ruffled border, endowing each membrane with particular transport properties. Next, we describe the individual components that make up the model.

2.2. Membrane potential

We adopt a physical model of the membrane potential that follows the work of Rybak and co-workers [42] and depends on the net difference in accumulated charge within a given compartment:

$$\Psi = \frac{FV}{C_0 S} \left( \sum [\text{cations}] - \sum [\text{anions}] - \sum [\text{impermeant ions}] \right),$$

where $C_0$ is the membrane capacitance per unit area, $F$ is Faraday’s constant, and $S$ and $V$ are the surface area and volume of the cellular compartment, respectively. Given simplified geometry in Fig. 1, we assume that the potential across the ruffled border ($\Psi_{fl}$) is the sum of all ionic charge in the pit, while the membrane potential across the plasma membrane ($\Psi_{p}$) is the charge imbalance of the contents in the cytoplasm and pit. The concentration of impermeant anions (D), known as Donnan particles, reflects the fact that there is often negatively charged protein and polymer in cellular environments that influences the distribution of permeant ions. We have adopted the standard convention in which positive membrane potential values indicate an excess of positive

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extracellular space</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracellular pH</td>
<td>mM</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracellular sodium concentration</td>
<td>mM</td>
<td>K⁺</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracellular chloride concentration</td>
<td>mM</td>
<td>Cl⁻</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC bicarbonate concentration</td>
<td>mM</td>
<td>HCO₃⁻</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytoplasmic space</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytosolic bicarbonate concentration (initial)</td>
<td>mM</td>
<td>HCO₃⁻</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>[4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ hydration forward rate constant</td>
<td>s⁻¹</td>
<td>k⁺</td>
<td>10⁻¹</td>
<td>[82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₃ protonation forward rate constant</td>
<td>(Ms)⁻¹</td>
<td>k⁺</td>
<td>4.8 × 10⁵</td>
<td>[82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracellular compartment (ECC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECC pH (initial)</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>pHₚ</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECC potassium concentration (initial)</td>
<td>mM</td>
<td>K⁺</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECC sodium concentration (initial)</td>
<td>mM</td>
<td>Na⁺</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECC chloride concentration (initial)</td>
<td>mM</td>
<td>Cl⁻</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECC volume</td>
<td>μm³</td>
<td>Vₑ</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruffled border</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface area</td>
<td>μm²</td>
<td>Sₚ</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>[84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma membrane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface area</td>
<td>μm²</td>
<td>Sᵥ</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>[84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilayer capacitance</td>
<td>μF/cm²</td>
<td>Cᵥ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₃ Permeability</td>
<td>cm/s</td>
<td>P₀,ΝH₃</td>
<td>4.8 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Concentration in control media used in osteoclast experiments in Ref. [84].
* Estimated from EMs assuming a spherical cap of height 5 μm and radius 15 μm [57]. See geometry.
* Typical extracellular values. Values in the nascent ECC are not known.
* Estimated from electron micrographs. See geometry.
charge in the cytoplasm relative to the extracellular medium or the pit. We ignore charge accumulation along the surface of the bone, which would increase the capacitance of the pit, and we also ignore the influence that convoluted and stacked membrane folds in the ruffled border may have on decreasing the capacitance of the ruffled border.

2.3. V-ATPase proton pump

The ATP dependent flux of protons through a single V-ATPase is given by $J_{\text{V-ATPase}}(pH, pH_n, \Psi)$ which has been determined from a detailed mechanochemical model calibrated against experimental current-voltage data [43]. A high-resolution structure of a eukaryotic V-ATPase from Saccharomyces cerevisiae was recently determined revealing for the first time that this family member has 10 copies of the proton carrying C-subunit [44]. Thus, each revolution of the rotor domain consumes 3 ATP molecules and transports 10 H$^+$ across the membrane placing bounds on the proton motive force that the V-ATPase can achieve [45]. Our mechanochemical model used to determine $J_{\text{V-ATPase}}$ is described in Grabe and Oster [32] with the following slight modifications: a 10 H$^+$:3 ATP stoichiometry, an increase in the rotor domain radius to 40 Å, and a buried $pK_a$ value for the titratable site of 8.4. This flux depends on the membrane potential across the ruffled border $\Psi$, the pH of the pit, and the cytoplasmic pH, which we assume can change during acidification. We do not have a closed analytic form for this term, but rather we use a pre-computed single-pump performance surface as shown in Fig. 2A. The total V-ATPase dependent proton movement is given by multiplying the single-pump flux by the number of V-ATPases, $N_V$. We assume that V-ATPases only localize to the ruffled border.

2.4. ClC-7 chloride-proton antiport

ClC-7 antiporters are assumed to localize to the ruffled border where they exchange two Cl$^-$ for a single H$^+$. Previously, we calibrated the single antiporter turnover rate against electrophysiological recordings, providing the following analytic expression [22], which depends on the pH gradient across the ruffled border, the ruffled border membrane potential, and the chloride concentrations in the cytoplasm and the pit:

$$J_{\text{ClC}} = x \cdot a \cdot \Delta \rho_{\text{ClC}} + (1-x) \cdot b \cdot (\Delta \rho_{\text{ClC}})^3,$$  

where $a$ is 0.3, $b$ is $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$, $\Delta \rho_{\text{ClC}}$ is the driving force for ClC-7 turnover:

$$\Delta \rho_{\text{ClC}} = 3 \Psi + \frac{k_B T}{e} \left( 2.3 \Delta \rho_{\text{H}} + 2 \ln \left( \frac{[\text{Cl}^-]}{[\text{H}^+]} \right) \right).$$  

and $x$ is a simple switching function equal to $(1/2 + 1/2 \tanh((\Delta \rho_{\text{ClC}} + 250)/75))$. In addition to matching macroscopic experimental data, Eq. (3) obeys detailed balance, and the maximum turnover rate was estimated based on single protein rates obtained from a bacterial homologue [45]. This simple model (Eqs. (2) and (3)) does not account for saturation of the transport rate under large driving forces, but it does faithfully fit experimental data between $-150$ mV and $+100$ mV under typical chloride concentrations near neutral pH [22]. The single antiporter pumping performance surface is pictured in Fig. 2B. The total ClC-7 dependent pumping rate is given by the number of active ClC-7 antiporters, $N_{\text{ClC}}$, times the single turnover rate, times 2 for chloride and 1 for protons.

2.5. Cl$^-$, K$^+$, and H$^+$ leak channels

We model passive channels with the following generic form:

$$J_x = P_x \frac{q U (|X| - |X| e^{iU})}{1 - e^{iU}},$$  

where $q$ is $-1$ for monovalent anions and $+1$ for monovalent cations, $S$ is the membrane surface area, $U$ is the reduced membrane potential ($U = e^U/k_B T$), and $|X|$ is the concentration of ion $X$ on site $i$ or $j$ of the membrane. In the presence of chloride and potassium channels, we set the permeability coefficient, $P_x$, to a large value $10^{-6}$ to $10^{-5}$ cm/s allowing these ions to quickly equilibrate across the membrane, and for simulations in which we assume no counterion channels, we set the permeability coefficients to zero. We always have K$^+$ and Cl$^-$ channels on the plasma membrane, and we will explore scenarios in which K$^+$, Cl$^-$ and H$^+$ channels are sometimes on the ruffled border.

2.6. Voltage-gated proton channels

Voltage-gated proton currents that are inhibited by zinc have been measured in osteoclasts [28,29], and here, we adapt a mathematical

---

Table 2

System parameters determined from optimization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Figure 3</th>
<th>Figure 4a</th>
<th>Figure 4b</th>
<th>Figure 5 &amp; 6</th>
<th>Figure 6</th>
<th>Figure 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potassium permeability</td>
<td>cm/s</td>
<td>$P_K$</td>
<td>$4.0 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$4.0 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$4.0 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$4.0 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$4.0 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$4.0 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride permeability</td>
<td>cm/s</td>
<td>$P_C$</td>
<td>$5.8 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$5.8 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$5.8 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$5.8 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$5.8 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$5.8 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of H$_i$ proton channels</td>
<td>$N_{H_i}$</td>
<td>218,922</td>
<td>218,922</td>
<td>226,478</td>
<td>226,478</td>
<td>226,478</td>
<td>226,478</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of AE2 Cl$^-$/HCO$_3^-$ exchangers</td>
<td>$N_{AE}$</td>
<td>337,974</td>
<td>337,974</td>
<td>337,974</td>
<td>337,974</td>
<td>337,974</td>
<td>337,974</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of NHE Na$^+$/H$^+$ exchangers</td>
<td>$N_{NHE}$</td>
<td>212,941</td>
<td>213,218</td>
<td>213,218</td>
<td>213,218</td>
<td>213,218</td>
<td>213,218</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$^+$/K$^+$–ATPase whole cell Na$^+$ efflux</td>
<td>mM/min</td>
<td>$3\times P_{\text{leak}}^{\text{bulk}}$</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide concentration</td>
<td>mM</td>
<td>$[\text{CO}_2]$</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>7.4$\times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>7.4$\times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial bicarbonate concentration</td>
<td>mM</td>
<td>$[\text{HCO}_3^-]$</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0$\times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>1.0$\times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive proton permeability</td>
<td>cm/s</td>
<td>$P_H$</td>
<td>$8.8 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$8.8 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$8.8 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride permeability</td>
<td>cm/s</td>
<td>$P_C$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>7.6$\times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of V-ATPase proton pumps</td>
<td>$N_V$</td>
<td>528,285</td>
<td>355,865</td>
<td>552,344</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of ClC-7 Cl$^-$/H$^+$ antiporters</td>
<td>$N_{\text{ClC}}$</td>
<td>200,490</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>120,294</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grenshaded boxes were determined from fitting procedure.

$^a$5% of $P_C$, from Cl$^-$ channel only simulation.

$^b$60% of $N_{\text{ClC}}$ from ClC–7 only simulation.
model of the H21 family member [46,47] developed based on single-channel currents measured by DeCoursey and co-workers [48]. We assume that these channels localize to both the ruffled border and the plasma membrane. Our model is similar to the simple chloride and potassium channel model in Eq. (4), but we incorporate a prefactor, $P_{\text{NHE}}$, that accounts for channel gating by $\text{pH}_c$, $\text{pH}_c$, $\text{pH}_c$, and membrane potential as well as terms needed to match experiments:

$$J_{\text{NHE}} = \sigma_{\text{NHE}} \cdot P_{\text{NHE}} \cdot \text{pH}_c \cdot \Delta\Psi_{\text{NHE}}$$

where $\sigma_{\text{NHE}}$ is a scaling factor, $k$ is 8 mV and controls the voltage-dependence of opening, $V_{1/2}$ is the voltage threshold for channel opening, which is pH dependent, and $\Delta\Psi_{\text{NHE}}$ is the proton motive force (PMF) across the membrane:

$$\Delta\Psi_{\text{NHE}} = \frac{k_B T}{e} \ln \left( \frac{[\text{H}^{+}]_{c}}{[\text{H}^{+}]_{f}} \right).$$

The prefactor $\sigma_{\text{NHE}}$ was determined by fitting the model to single channel data [48] shown in the inset of Fig. 2C using the fminsearch function in Matlab™, while simultaneously fitting the macroscopic currents from Ref. [49] with an additional parameter corresponding to the total number of channels in the cell (not shown). Only $\sigma_{\text{NHE}}$ and the total channel number were free parameters, while $k$ and the constant terms in Eq. (5) for $V_{1/2}$ were taken from experiment [48]. Phenomenologically, we write the proton current as a function of $\text{pH}_c$ and $\Delta\Psi_{\text{NHE}}$, since these terms are required to fit the macroscopic currents, where the subscripts $P$ and $E$ depend on where the channel is localized. As with the other channels and transporters in this study, we have ignored the gating dynamics, which have been modeled elsewhere [50], because we assume that pit acidification occurs on a long timescale compared to channel gating, and channels adopt their steady state open probability. Moreover, state-dependent kinetic models of channel opening have also been constructed that nicely fit currents and fluorescent data reporting on conformational changes in the protein [51]. The total proton flux across the ruffled border or plasma membrane is given by the number of active channels, $N_{\text{NHE}}$, in the respective membrane multiplied by the single channel flux, $J_{\text{NHE}}$.

### 2.7. Na⁺/H⁺ exchangers

Non-resorbing osteoclasts employ Na⁺/H⁺ exchangers (NHE) in the plasma membrane to control cytoplasmic pH levels, and the NHE1 isoform is expressed in avian osteoclasts [52]. During an internal acid load, cells extrude excess protons via the exchanger to restore basal pH levels [29,41]. Here we adopt a simple model for the action of a single NHE exchanger loosely adapted from the work of Leem and co-workers [41]:

$$J_{\text{NHE}} = P_{\text{NHE}}^2 \cdot \text{pH}_c \cdot V_{\text{NHE}} \frac{\Delta\mu_{\text{NHE}}}{K_{\text{NHE}} + \Delta\mu_{\text{NHE}}},$$

where

$$\Delta\mu_{\text{NHE}} = k_B T \ln \left( \frac{[\text{H}^{+}]_{c} [\text{Na}^{+}]_{c}}{[\text{H}^{+}]_{f} [\text{Na}^{+}]_{f}} \right).$$

### 2.8. Cl⁻ /HCO₃⁻ exchanger

Chloride-bicarbonate exchangers (AE2) trade Cl⁻ for HCO₃⁻ across the plasma membrane with a 1-to-1 stoichiometry. AE2 knockouts in mice result in severe osteopetrosis, and immunohistochemistry reveals that the transporter is exclusively localized to the plasma membrane [37]. The transporter is not electrogenic, and therefore lacks voltage dependence, but it has been shown to have a dependence on extracellular and cytoplasmic pH values [54]. We model the single exchanger transporter rate with a similar form to the NHE transporter:

$$J_{\text{AE}} = P_{\text{AE}}^2 \cdot \text{pH}_c \cdot V_{\text{AE}} \frac{\Delta\mu_{\text{AE}}}{K_{\text{AE}} + \Delta\mu_{\text{AE}}},$$

where

$$\Delta\mu_{\text{AE}} = k_B T \ln \left( \frac{[\text{HCO}_3^-]_{c} [\text{Cl}^-]_{f}}{[\text{HCO}_3^-]_{f} [\text{Cl}^-]_{c}} \right).$$

and

$$P_{\text{AE}}(\text{pH}_c) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{pH}_c < 6.7, \\ (7.25 - \text{pH}_c)/0.75 & 6.7 \leq \text{pH}_c < 7.25, \\ 0 & \text{pH}_c \geq 7.25. \end{cases}$$

We assume that NHE localizes only to the plasma membrane where it aids in stabilizing the cytoplasmic pH. The flux is expressed as a function of the chemical potential, $\Delta\mu_{\text{NHE}}$, to enforce detailed balance at equilibrium, and an open probability, $P_{\text{NHE}}$, is employed to account for the cytoplasmic pH dependence of activity. Squaring $P_{\text{NHE}}$ smooths the function at the transition regions. The maximum single exchanger turnover, $V_{\text{AE}}$, is set to 1454 s⁻¹, which is estimated from rates for the bacteria transporter NhaA [53], $K_{\text{NHE}}$ is 3 kBT, which was based, in part, on attempts to match Eq. (6) to the whole cell flux in Ref. [41] and the model to the data in Fig. 4. The NHE flux as a function of $\text{pH}_c$ is shown in Fig. 2D. $K_{\text{AE}}$ has units of energy. The general shape of the single transporter dependent turnover as a function of $\text{pH}_c$ (Fig. 2D) is similar to whole cell fluxes recorded from ventricular myocytes [41] suggesting that Eq. (6) is a reasonable approximation of NHE transport. At pHc = 6.55, below which experimental currents were not measured, the flux exhibits a kink from enforcing transporter saturation at low pH. In the calculations presented here, pHc never reaches this low value, but we caution users of our code when exploring scenarios with acidic cytoplasmic values. The total flux due to NHE transporters is the copy number, $N_{\text{NHE}}$, times $V_{\text{NHE}}$.\textbf{A}
extracellular pH since it is constant in the current model. As with the NHE model, $P_{AE}$ exhibits a kink at pH 7.45. Our model never reaches such high pH values, but caution should be taken when using our code to explore alkaline cytoplasmic pH values. The total flux to AE2 is given by $J_{AE}$ times the total number of active exchangers, $N_{AE}$.

2.9. Na\(^+\)/K\(^-\)-ATPase

Osteoclasts have been shown to have a high number of plasma membrane Na\(^+\)/K\(^-\)-ATPases on the order of 5 million [55], similar to other cell types such as HeLa cells, which have been estimated to express millions of Na\(^+\)/K\(^-\)-ATPases [56]. Here we assume that Na\(^+\)/K\(^-\)-ATPase dependent transport of Na\(^+\) and K\(^-\) across the plasma membrane is constant in time with a whole-cell transport rate of $F_{\text{NAR}}$. Each cycle expels 3 Na\(^+\) and imports 2 K\(^-\), leading to a rate of change of $-3 F_{\text{NAR}}$ and $+2 F_{\text{NAR}}$, respectively.

2.10. Geometry

The size of the ECC is defined in part by the annular ring of actin, known as the sealing zone, that tightly adheres the ruffled border to the bone surface to seal off the pit. Based on confocal images of F-actin staining, this area is about 200 $\mu$m radius [31,58], providing an initial estimate of the ECC volume, $V_C$, between 40 and 200 $\mu$m\(^3\). Here we start with a base value of 100 $\mu$m\(^3\). The volume and surface area of the osteoclast were also estimated from the same confocal images [57]. The cells are rounded, similar to the “rounded and compact” phenotype associated with active bone resorption [59], with a height of 5 $\mu$m and a radius of ~10 $\mu$m [57]. The latter value is consistent with a 14 $\mu$m radius reported by Nordström and co-workers [29]. Using the spherical cap formula and excluding the ruffled border base, we arrive at an extracellular-to-plasma membrane surface area, $S_p$, of ~400 $\mu$m\(^2\) and a total cytoplasmic volume of 850 $\mu$m\(^3\). Since it is estimated that 35% of the cell is occupied by organelles [60], the cytoplasmic volume of distribution, $V_C$, in the cap would be smaller, but we also realize that $V_C$ extends below the cap into the pit. Thus, we start by assuming $V_C$ is 850 $\mu$m\(^3\). Since these values are far from precise, and true volumes and surface areas vary from cell-to-cell, we will explore a wide range of surface areas and volumes to determine their influence on the steady state and dynamic properties of resorption.

2.11. Intrinsic and specific buffering mechanisms

Changes in the free proton concentration are tightly regulated by the buffering capacity of the intracellular and extracellular spaces. Mathematically, this relationship can be represented as $\Delta$H = $-A[H^+] / \beta$, where $\beta$ is the buffering capacity of the medium. The total buffering power of a space is the sum of its individual components. We assume that there is an intrinsic buffering capacity ($\beta_i$) corresponding to the net action of titrating all of the resident proteins and molecules as well as a specific buffering capacity ($\beta_s$) due to bicarbonate (HCO\(_3\)\(^-\)), the primary molecule used to buffer the cytoplasmic pH. Rather than tracking the buffered protons implicitly through a buffer term, we track the protons explicitly through a series of acid-base reactions that take place in the cytoplasm or in the ECC. Each reaction takes the form:

$$B^- + H^+ \rightarrow HB^-,$$  

resulting in a differential equation of the form:

$$\frac{d}{dt} B_{AE} = N_A V_{AE} \left( k_{AE}^+ [HB^-] - k_{AE}^- [H^+] \right),$$  

for species $i$ in the pit ($P$). For the cytoplasm, we employ a single buffer species of 47 mM with a $pK_a$ of 6.34 resulting in a buffering capacity of 20–25 mM/pH between pH 5.5 and 7 as employed by Ravesloot and co-workers based on their measurements carried out on osteoclasts derived from rat [40]. The buffering capacity of a single species with fixed concentration is given by:

$$\beta(pH) = \frac{2.3 B 10^{-pK_a} \cdot 10^{-pH}}{\left(10^{-pK_a} + 10^{-pH}\right)}.$$  

where B is the concentration of the buffer molecule.

Since the pit experiences a much wider range of pH values, we use 10 buffer species of 15.3 mM each with evenly spaced $pK_a$ values from 2.0 to 8.9. The composite total intrinsic buffering capacity is very flat between pH 2 and 8 with a value of 25 mM/pH unit. Thus, we assume that the ECC has a constant intrinsic buffering capacity similar to lysosomes with a value of 25 mM/pH, within the range of values reported in the literature [20,26]. Protonation reactions in solution are quite fast, and we set the forward rates ($k_+$) and backward rates ($k_-$) are set by the $pK_a$ of the buffer, $k_+ = k_- = k_{-10} pK_a$. We note that water is one of these buffer molecules, but its buffering capacity is only substantial below pH 2 or above pH 13, which is outside of the pit and cytoplasm pH values calculated here. Thus, we do not include water as a buffer molecule.

For the bicarbonate, the reversible acid-base reaction is:

$$CO_2 + H_2O \rightleftharpoons H^+ + HCO_3^-,$$  

which is catalysed by the enzyme carbonic anhydrase II (CAII). As the cytoplasm is depleted of protons during active resorption, the acid-base equilibrium is maintained through the creation of additional H\(^+\) and bicarbonate from carbonic acid (H\(_2\)CO\(_3\)). Since CO\(_2\) freely diffuses across cellular membranes, the total carbonic acid is essentially constant, and it can be shown that the buffering capacity is proportional to the total bicarbonate [61]:

$$\beta_1 = 2.3 \cdot [HCO_3^-].$$  

The time dependent change in the bicarbonate concentration follows from Eq. (10) and takes a similar form to Eq. (9). Please see Table 1 for additional parameters. Thus, the total buffering capacity of the cytoplasm is

$$\beta_1 = \beta_1 + \beta_1.$$

2.12. Modeling acid load

To simulate acid loading in Fig. 4 by addition of NH\(_4\)Cl to the bath, we load the cytoplasm with an equilibrated quantity of NH\(_3\) and NH\(_4\)\(^+\), assuming a $pK_a$ of 9.25. The initial external NH\(_3\) concentration is set equal to the initial cytoplasmic NH\(_3\) concentration. To model bath exchange at time $t_0$ to a NH\(_3\) free solution, we remove the external ammonia exponentially according to the following equation:

$$[\text{NH}_3](t) = [\text{NH}_3](t_0)e^{-(t-t_0)/\tau},$$  

while also modeling the passive flux of neutral NH\(_3\) across the plasma membrane using Eq. (4) with a large permeability coefficient of 4.8 ×
10⁻² cm/s. NH₃ rapidly diffuses out of the cytoplasm due to the concentration gradient, driving NH₄⁺ dissociation causing a sudden pH drop.

2.13. Numerical solutions

The full system of equations for this model is:

\[
\frac{dH_j^c}{dt} = N_jV_j - N_{\text{CIC}}V_{\text{CIC}} - N_{\text{NiV}}V_{\text{NiV}} - P_jS_jF_j \\
+ NaV_j \sum_{i=1}^{n_c} (k_{iH}^e[H_j]_c - k_{iH}^e[B_i]_c[H^+]_c)
\]

\[
\frac{dC_l^c}{dt} = 2N_{\text{CIC}}/J_{\text{EC}} - P_cS_cF_c \\
\frac{d[B_i]_c}{dt} = N_jV_j \left( k_{iH}^e[H_j]_c - k_{iH}^e[B_i]_c[H^+]_c \right) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n_p
\]

\[
\frac{dK_j^c}{dt} = -dK_j^c + P_{j}^eS_cF_c^e - N_{\text{AE}}J_{\text{AE}} \\
\frac{dNa_j^c}{dt} = N_{\text{NiV}}J_{\text{NiV}} - 3F_{\text{NAK}} \\
\frac{dNH_4^c}{dt} = NaV_j \left( k_{iNH_4}^c[NH_3]_c[H^+]_c - k_{iNH_4}^c[NH_4^+]_c \right) \\
\frac{dNH_3^c}{dt} = P_{NH_4}S_cJ_{NH_4} - NaV_j \left( k_{iNH_4}^c[NH_3]_c[H^+]_c - k_{iNH_4}^c[NH_4^+]_c \right) \\
\frac{dHCO_3^-}{dt} = N_{\text{AE}}J_{\text{AE}} + NaV_j \left( k_{iHCO_3^-}^c[NH_3]_c[H^+]_c - k_{iHCO_3^-}^c[NH_4^+]_c \right) \\
\frac{dB_i^c}{dt} = NaV_j \sum_{i=1}^{n_c} \left( k_{iH}^e[H_j]_c - k_{iH}^e[B_i]_c[H^+]_c \right) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n_c
\]

\[
\frac{dD_j^c}{dt} = 0
\]

\[
\Psi_{p} = -\frac{e}{C_0S_p} \left( H_j^c - C_l^p + K_j^c - D_j^p - \sum_{i=1}^{n_p} B_i^p \right) \\
\Psi_{E} = \frac{e}{C_0S_p} \left( H_j^c - C_l^p + K_j^c - D_j^p - \sum_{i=1}^{n_p} B_i^p \right) + H_j^c - C_l^c + K_j^c + Na_j^c - HCO_3^- + NH_4^c - D_j^c - \sum_{i=1}^{n_c} B_i^c
\]

The equations were solved in Matlab™ with the ODE15s variable time step, stiff solver. The absolute and relative tolerances were set to 10⁻⁶, and all calculations presented here were checked to ensure proper convergence. The initial time step size (set automatically by initial rates), minimum step size (10⁻¹³), and maximum step size (10% of total time span) were all ODE15s default values.

2.14. Fitting data

We employed the Nelder-Mead search algorithm as implemented in Numerical Recipes to fit the mathematical model to the experimental data [62]. While there are many unknown parameters in our model, we chose to fit the data by optimizing the number of membrane transport proteins on the ruffled border (Pch, Pth, Nvh, NH, NaCl), plasma membrane transport related variables (Pch, Pth, Nvh, NH, NaCl, Jnak), and cytoplasmic CO2 and initial HCO3⁻ levels (see Table 2). Only a subset of these parameters are varied in any given fit. The acidification data from Silver and co-workers [63], Nordstrom and co-workers [29], and Teti and co-workers [35] were manually digitized with Plot Digitizer (J. A. Huwaldt and S. Steinhorst, Plot Digitizer 2.6.6, 2014, http://plotdigitizer.sourceforge.net/), and the goodness of each fit was scored as the sum of the squared difference between the digitized pH value and the corresponding model pH value at a given point in time.

3. Results

We first use the model to explore cellular mechanisms of cytoplasmic pH regulation in response to changes in the external environment. By fitting the model to published data, we are able to estimate free parameters such as the number of pumps and channels, but more importantly, we will test whether the model correctly responds to experimental perturbations. Next, we simulate acidification of the pit and attempt to match numerical results with measurements on cultured osteoclasts. Finally, we use the parameterized model to address outstanding questions regarding the importance of particular transporters, enzymes and system geometry.

3.1. Regulation of cytoplasmic pH

Cytoplasmic pH regulation is crucial to normal cellular homeostasis, and it is particularly important to active osteoclasts, which are prone to alkalization due to the extrusion of large quantities of protons into the extracellular pit. Typical cytoplasmic pH values are around 7.2, and different classes of transporters are employed for increasing versus decreasing the pH. The AE2 transporter is one of the primary membrane proteins responsible for protecting against alkalization. As cytoplasmic pH increases, AE2 becomes more active resulting in bicarbonate export and Cl⁻ import with a 1-to-1 stoichiometry. The removal of HCO3⁻ pushes the acid-base equilibrium to the right in Eq. (8) resulting in the creation of free protons. Schlesinger and colleagues explored the role of chloride-bicarbonate exchange in regulating cytoplasmic pH through a series of experiments carried out on avian osteoclasts. They concluded that the transporter enables cells to maintain normal pH levels even in the face of a large proton efflux and that acidification is absolutely dependent on external chloride [35].

We used the model to reproduce the cytoplasmic pH changes induced by extracellular chloride removal in the Schlesinger experiments (Fig. 3A). Cells were prepared on glass chips containing 110 mM Cl⁻ in the external buffer with 25 mM HCO3⁻, loaded with a pH indicator, and allowed to equilibrate to a pH near 6.9 in a nominally bicarbonate free buffer (open circles from Ref. [35]). The cells were then moved at 32 min to an isosmotically-balanced solution free of external Cl⁻ (first arrow), and pHz increased to 7.4. At 58 min (second arrow), the cells were moved back to a Cl⁻ containing solution, and rapid re-acidification followed. We solved the model using the standard parameters listed in Table 1 for a non-resorbing osteoclast. That is, we assumed that there was no active transport across the ruffled border and all values in Table 1 corresponding to the ruffled border were set to zero (see figure captions for any parameters that differ from table values). To mimic the experimental conditions, the external chloride solution was changed from 110 mM to 5 mM at 32 min and then it was returned to 110 mM at 58 min. The external bicarbonate concentration was not reported, and we assume a value of 3 mM throughout the timecourse.
3.2. Recovery of the cytoplasmic pH after an acid load

Cells are capable of preventing over-acidification as well as alkalini-
zation, and the Grinstein lab explored the mechanisms involved in rec-
covery from an acid load in rabbit osteoclasts [29]. Isolated cells were
incubated in 40 mM NH4Cl and then rapidly transferred to NH4+-free
medium causing an efflux of neutral NH3 from the cytoplasm and a con-
comitant rise in H+. When the transfer medium contained high Na+, the
cytosolic pH acidified down to pH 6.5 after 2 min followed by a slower
recovery to pH 7.2 over the next 8 min (Fig. 4A, blue circles). When the
external solution also contained high levels of amiloride (1 mM), a po-
tent blocker of NHE antiporters, the cytosolic acidification was more
profound followed by very weak recovery (Fig. 4A, solid black cir-
cles). We fit our model to these two situations simultaneously with all
NHE active (red curve) or with no NHE (yellow dashed curve) mimick-
ing the complete inhibition of NHE transporters by amiloride. The in-
itial acidification of the cytosol was modeled as described in the The-
ory and methods, and the load concentration is identical in both cal-
culations. Once pHc drops below 7, NHE is activated (Fig. 2D), and proton
export via NHE counters the acid load resulting in a minimum pHc of 6.4 just
after 1 min followed by a monotonic return to 7.2 that closely matches
the experiment. The fitting procedure predicts that 213,218 NHE trans-
porters are present in the plasma membrane to produce this recovery.
When NHE are completely removed from the model, the acidification
dips below pH 6 and never recovers during the remaining 9 min. It
was hypothesized that the weaker recovery was due to other putative
sodium dependent transporters present in the osteoclast plasma mem-
brane [29], which our model does not include; hence, our model fails
to reproduce the slow recovery mode facilitated by non-NHE mecha-

Next, the Grinstein lab tested whether pHc recovery from an acid
load required Na+ by transferring to Na+-free external media high in
K+ (Fig. 4B, blue circles) or high in N-methyl-D-glucammonium
(NMG+), a non-blocking, non-permeant cation (Fig. 4B, solid black cir-
cles) [29]. The cytosolic pH recovers in high K+ lacking Na+, but the
pH becomes 0.3 units more acidic and the recovery only returns to 7.0
after 10 min, not 7.2, when compared to the high Na+ experiment in
panel A. Repeating the experiment in NMG+, without external K+ or
Na+, produces an acidic cytosol of 5.9 with no recovery. The model
was again fit to both of these experiments simultaneously resulting in
semi-quantitative agreement. In high K+, the model does not become
as acidic as the experiments, but matches the recovery phase quite
well (red curve). NHE does not play in role in the recovery, but rather
the high extracellular K+ depolarizes the plasma membrane above — 20 mV
causing a small percentage (~1%) of HV1 voltage-gated proton channels
to open (Fig. 4B, inset), which can be inferred from the open channel
probability contours in Fig. 2C. As pHc increases toward the external pH
value, the H+1 facilitated H+ current declines, as can be seen in the
inset, and pHc approaches 7. The model predicts that 225,478 H+1 ch-
nnels are present in the membrane to produce this result. Under normal
cellular bicarbonate conditions, AE2 typically resists cytosplasmic
alkalization by exporting bicarbonate. Unexpectedly, upon acid loading
the cytoplasm, bicarbonate is consumed, and AE2 imports more HCO3−,
which assists in alkalization to restore normal cytosol pH values and
bicarbonate levels in panel B. Lastly, when Na+ is replaced by NMG+ the
membrane potential remains near the K+ reversal potential at — 68 mV. H+1 channels remain closed, and pHc cannot recover from the
acid load (yellow dashed curve), exactly as observed experimentally
(solid black circles).

3.3. Modeling acidification of an active osteoclast

The experiments modeled in Figs. 3 and 4 provide estimates of par-
ticular elements of the mathematical model related to ion movement
at the plasma membrane and pH regulation in the cytoplasm. Using these
parameters as a base, we now explore the acidification of the extracel-
ular matrix by an active osteoclast. Based on our previous work [22,27,
tionally, the measured pH trace, and there are changes in the slope of the trace at time I we activated V-ATPases and ClC-7 antiporters mimicking the ruf ed earlier, we expected that K+ leak channels have been excluded from this cellular compartment at time I and withdrawn when pH went off scale at time W. This cell was able to acidify the ECC by 4 pH units in <4 min; however, not all cultured osteoclasts produced such a large drop in pHr in particular, those cells that failed to establish a tight seal to the substratum often produced only mildly acidic pits.

Using fixed parameters pertaining to the plasma membrane obtained earlier, we fit the model to the data by identifying optimal values for the ruffled border and ECC parameters listed in Table 2 such as CIC-7, V-ATPase, and H+ leak copy numbers as well as H+ passive permeability (Fig. 1). We assume that K+ leak channels have been excluded from this membrane, which we elaborate on in the Discussion. The fitting procedure was carried out between the two vertical, dashed red lines (the first corresponds to the probe insertion time). We terminated the fit prior to probe removal at W, since the V-ATPase profile used here cannot achieve such an acidic pH. We return to this issue in the Discussion.

At time I we activated V-ATPases and CIC-7 antiporters mimicking the fusion of lysosomes with the ruffled border resulting in acidification of the pit. The best fit (Fig. 5A, purple dashed curve) only loosely matches the measured pH trace, and there are changes in the slope of the trace (particularly at 3 and 5 min) that are not captured by the model. Additionally, the final pH at 6 min is not as acidic as the experimental value. Silver and co-workers suggest that the cell settles during the acidification process causing changes to the ECC volume, which may be partially responsible for these slope changes. We assume that the geometric properties of the cell remained fixed during acidification, and we will explore geometric influences later.

The model predicts that the ruffled border has 528,286 active V-ATPases, 200,490 CIC-7 antiporters, and a passive proton permeability of $8.8 \times 10^{-6}$ cm/s (Table 2). The initial fits to the data revealed that the model is sensitive to increases in passive proton permeability, but

2004,900 CIC-7 antiporters, and a passive proton permeability of $8.8 \times 10^{-6}$ cm/s (Table 2). The initial fits to the data revealed that the model is sensitive to increases in passive proton permeability, but...
insensitive to decreases in permeability. Increasing the proton permeability to $6 \times 10^{-6}$ cm/s, the value obtained from our earlier study of lysosomes [22], causes a 0.3 pH unit alkalinization of the pit and a noticeable decrease in the goodness of fit by ~12%. However, reducing $p_H$ below $8.8 \times 10^{-6}$ cm/s has no change on the solution, placing an upper limit on the proton permeability of the ruffled border.

The theoretical membrane potential across the ruffled border ($\Psi_P$) during acidification is shown in Fig. 5B. There are two curves corresponding to two different scenarios explored with the model (both of which give rise to indistinguishable $p_H$ traces in panel A). First, we assumed that $H_V$ and intrinsic proton leak currents were delivered to the ruffled border with the other transporters at time 1 (purple dashed curve), and second, $H_V$ and passive $H^+$ leaks were present in the ruffled border from time zero when the border is formed and becomes electrically isolated from the plasma membrane (yellow curve). The membrane potential starts at the resting plasma membrane potential of $-71$ mV at time 0, and in scenario 1, the lack of any currents prior to lysosomal fusion keeps the value constant. At time 1, the membrane potential starts to rise due to the action of CIC-7 pumping $Cl^{-}$ into the pit and removing $H^+$. $H_V$ channels remain closed throughout, initially due to the negative membrane potential and later due to the acidic pH of the pit; therefore, in our model $H_V$ does not aid in acidification of the pit. When $H_V$ and the proton leak are present in the ruffled border from the start and $K^+$ channels are off or no longer present, $\Psi_P$ starts to depolarize due to passive proton leak into the cytoplasm. Above $-50$ mV, a small fraction of $H_V$ become active (still under 1%, see Fig. 2C) but enough to have a positive feed back causing greater depolarization and, therefore, a higher $H_V$ open probability. The membrane potential quickly rises to the $H^+$ reversal at $+3$ mV, where the $H_V$ open probability is $2-3\%$. The number of protons moved to change the potential from $-70$ mV to $+3$ mV is quite small so there is no detectable alkalinization of the pit (panel A). Once V-ATPase $H^+$ pumping starts, $\Psi_P$ quickly hyperpolarizes due to V-ATPase mediated $H^+$ exit from the cytoplasm. Both scenarios then provide a similar time course of slow membrane depolarization. Please note that the degree of hyperpolarization in the second scenario at time 1 depends on the number of transport proteins, and the voltage does not return to the resting value in general.

3.4. CIC-7 versus a Cl$^{-}$ channel

Next, we wanted to test the influence of CIC-7 on the acidification process. The Jentsch group discovered that loss of CIC-7 causes osteopetrosis [18], and osteoclasts lacking CIC-7 cannot form acidic compartments in vitro [21]. Moreover, a point mutation that converts the CIC-7 antiporter into a chloride channel results in more shallow resorption pits than osteoclasts with wild-type protein, and mice with the mutant antiporter exhibit a mild form of osteopetrosis [21]. We replotted the best fit to the pit acidification data in Fig. 5 with CIC-7 only (red curve), and then we refit the acidification curve with Cl$^{-}$ only channels only (purple dotted curve) (Fig. 6A). Finally, we carried out a calculation that included a mixture of both CIC-7 and Cl$^{-}$ channels (yellow dashed curve). The time axis extends to 12 min to allow each system to reach steady state. As before, we did not model withdrawal of the probe. The rate of Cl$^{-}$ counterion movement is a major determinant of the initial acidification rate, and channel and transporters are equally effective. However, the final steady state $p_H$ value that can be achieved in the pit is dependent on whether antiporters or channels are present in the ruffled border. As other modeling efforts have shown [21,22], CIC-7 alone provides the greatest degree of acidification to pH value of 3.6, a pure Cl$^{-}$ channel results in the least acidification close to pH 5.0, and a linear combination of the two gives an intermediate $p_H$ value closer to the pure channel simulation. We previously argued that the downhill movement of $H^+$ from the acidic pit back into the cytoplasm energizes the Cl$^{-}$ movement to more effectively reduce the membrane potential opposing proton pumping by the V-ATPase [22], which explains the current results.

Fig. 6B and C show the predicted chloride concentrations in the ECC and the membrane potential across the ruffled border, respectively, for each scenario using the same identification scheme as panel A. Chloride in the ECC starts at the extracellular value of 110 mM for all three cases, and during acidification the levels rise since the Cl$^{-}$ serves as a counterion to offset the charge imbalance due to proton pumping into the pit. We predict that a pure channel will result in a final $[Cl^-]_{EC}$ near 165 mM while CIC-7 antipoters alone produce a final value near 190 mM. The steady state $\Psi_P$ correlate with the $[Cl^-]_{EC}$ values – the larger the final pit chloride concentration, the larger the membrane potential, which in turn allows for greater acidification. Thus, CIC-7 alone achieves the greatest acidification due to the ability to bring more Cl$^{-}$ into the pit.

3.5. AE2 influence on acidification

Chloride is a crucial counterion required to suppress the buildup of the membrane potential across the ruffled border during resorption, and bicarbonate export from the cytoplasm results in the creation of protons in the cytoplasm required by the V-ATPase for acidifying the ECC. To understand the role that AE2 exchangers on the plasma membrane play in pit acidification, we started from the base model parameters developed from the fits in Figs. 3–5 and then ran the model out to 1 h to determine the osteoclast conditions for a wide range of $N_{AE}$ values ranging from 1000 to 10,000,000 exchangers. The cytoplasmic pH and Cl$^{-}$ concentrations are independent of $N_{AE}$ for values above 200,000, but $p_H$ climbs to 7.2 for low $N_{AE}$ values and the cytoplasmic Cl$^{-}$ concentration falls to near zero (Fig. 7A,C). Consequently, the chloride concentration in the pit drops for small AE2 numbers due to decreased [Cl$^-]_{EC}$ (Fig. 7D). In other words, the reduced driving force for chloride entry into the pit makes it harder for CIC-7 to maintain high ECC chloride levels. The primary consequence of decreased [Cl$^-]_{EC}$ is that the membrane potential across the ruffled border ($\Psi_P$) becomes less positive reducing the driving force for $H^+$ accumulation in the pit (Fig. 7E). Thus, the reduced membrane potential stalls the V-ATPase earlier and causes the pit to alkalinize by nearly 1 pH unit (Fig. 7B).
The geometry of a resorbing osteoclast is dynamic and difficult to quantify. The volumes and surface areas used throughout this study are estimated based on a few EM images, but these images are static and inherently low resolution. To better understand the role that membrane surface area and volume plays in the formation of osteoclasts, we started with the model parameters from the acidic border and extracellular compartment in Fig. 5 and scanned a wide range of ECC volumes and ruf ed border size on the dynamics and steady state pH of the ECC.

3.6. Impact of pit volume and ruffled border size on the dynamics and steady state pH of the ECC

The geometry of the ruffled border and extracellular compartment influence the kinetics of acidification. The pH of the pit at 15 min (A), 30 min (B), and 1 h (C) after initiation of acidification plotted as a function of the surface area of the ruffled border and volume of the ECC. All parameters are the same as those used in Fig. 5, except pump densities on the ruffled border were held constant for all simulations rather than total pump numbers. Green stars in each panel indicate default volume and surface areas used throughout the manuscript. Grey regions along the x-axis and the y-axis are estimates of unphysical regimes that delineate approximate bounds on the surface area and volume.

Fig. 7. Plasma membrane AE2 aids acidification of the extracellular compartment. The steady state values for (A) pH, (B) pH, (C) [\(\text{Cl}^−\)], (D) [\(\text{Ca}^2+\)], and (E) \(\psi_c\) over a wide range of AE2 transporters on the plasma membrane. Acidification of the pit was initiated as in Fig. 5 using the same values for all other parameters. For comparison, \(N_{ae}\) was 337,974 in Figs. 3 and 5.

4. Discussion

Silver and co-workers observed acidification of the ECC below pH 3 (lower than the threshold of the probe) [63]; however, the mathematical model is only able to acidify the pit to 3.75 (see Fig. 5A). It is interesting to consider the theoretical maximum pH gradient that the V-ATPase can achieve in the absence of a membrane potential:

\[
\Delta G = 23 k_B T \cdot \frac{3 \text{ ATP}}{10 \text{ H}^+} = k_B T \ln \left( \frac{[\text{H}^+]}{[\text{H}^+]} \right) \Rightarrow pH_p = 4.0,
\]

where \([\text{H}^+])\) is \(10^{-7}\), and \(\Delta G\) is the free energy available per transported proton. We assume that the hydrolysis energy of a single ATP is 57 kJ/mol \((23 k_B T)\) and that the stoichiometry of the V-ATPase is 3 ATP to 10 \(\text{H}^+\) [44]. This theoretical maximum is 3 pH units, and Silver and colleagues indicated that isolated osteoclasts often produced pits 3 pH units lower the culture medium of pH 7, but sometimes they produce a gradient of 4 pH units [63]. In our model, pH is ~7.0, indicating that the maximum pHp attainable is 4.0, and the model acidifies the pit 0.25 pH lower to 3.75 (Fig. 5A). As shown in Fig. 5B, the membrane potential across the ruffled border becomes positive during acidification due to counterion movement (Fig. 6C), and the electrical component of the PMF aids in dropping the pH lower than 4.0. Nonetheless, our model is unable to achieve the observed pH of 3 (Fig. 5A). Thus, we predict that the membrane potential across the ruffled border is close to 0 mV, or more likely, that it is positive, which is needed to explain

Fig. 8. The geometry of the ruffled border and extracellular compartment influence the kinetics of acidification. The pH of the pit at 15 min (A), 30 min (B), and 1 h (C) after initiation of acidification plotted as a function of the surface area of the ruffled border and volume of the ECC. All parameters are the same as those used in Fig. 5, except pump densities on the ruffled border were held constant for all simulations rather than total pump numbers. Green stars in each panel indicate default volume and surface areas used throughout the manuscript. Grey regions along the x-axis and the y-axis are estimates of unphysical regimes that delineate approximate bounds on the surface area and volume.
these extreme pH gradients. The model suggests that modulation of the membrane potential through one of the many elements in Fig. 1 may result in less acidic pits by hindering the proton pumping ability of the V-ATPase. Such pharmacological agents may provide a means of intervention for bone diseases resulting from over active osteoclasts.

Chloride plays an important role as a counterion during the acidification of organelles by creating a favorable environment for proton pumping [26,65], and mammals with mutations in the CIC-7 Cl−/H+ antiporter suffer from osteopetrosis [18] and their osteoclasts create shallower resorption pits [21]. Here we reproduce in Fig. 6 the results from a simpler model that first showed that CIC-7 aids acidification of the pit more than Cl− channels [21]. We predict that the steady state Cl− concentration in the ECC is quite high for ruffled borders containing only CIC-7 (~200 mM) and about 50 mM lower for Cl− channels alone or mixtures of CIC-7 and Cl− channels (Fig. 6B). The higher Cl− concentrations in the ECC produced by CIC−7 result in a positive membrane potential while both the pure Cl− channel and the mixed antiporter/channel models both result in negative membrane potentials between −40 and −25 mV, consistent with previous modeling efforts [21]. Coupling Cl− flux to the downhill movement of protons out of the acidic pit enables CIC−7 to create a larger Cl− gradient, as we highlighted in our earlier lysosome study [22], achieving a more favorable membrane potential for proton pumping by the V-ATPase (Fig. 6C). The chloride concentration in the cytoplasm also determines how much Cl− is available for transport into the pit as well as the driving force for accumulation. Cytoplastic Cl− is controlled by the number of AE2 chloride-bicarbonate exchangers on the plasma membrane, and it is therefore not surprising that mutations in AE2 result in bone disease [37]. When AE2 numbers fall below 200,000, the cytoplasm cannot maintain nominal [Cl−]i levels causing a drop in [Cl−]i, a rise in VΨ and a failure of the pit to fully acidify (Fig. 7). Thus, the model predicts that chloride handling at the plasma membrane and the ruffled border are both important for achieving low pH levels in the ECC. We want to note that the amount of Cl− that accumulates in the pit at steady state is directly related to proton buffering capacity – higher buffering values produce higher Cl− levels. We used a set of buffers with an effective constant buffering capacity of 25 mM/pH unit, and if the value increased to 40 mM/pH unit, for instance, we would predict ~50 mM more Cl− than the values reported.

Our modeling efforts show that the presence of H+1 channels in the plasma membrane can explain recovery of cytoplastic pH after an acid load if the membrane potential has been depolarized (Fig. 4B). Current clamp experiments carried out on isolated rat osteoclasts indicate that the membrane potential adopts two stable values: −71 mV, close to the K+ reversal potential, and −16 mV [66]. A bimodal behavior in the membrane potential has also been noted elsewhere [24,67]. Based on these bistable voltages, Nordstrom and co-workers suggested that an initial drop in VΨ to −16 mV may partially open H+1 channels and allow protons to enter the ECC during the initial stages of resorption, but as the pit acidifies H+1 would close preventing back flow [9,29]. Their hypothesis is based on a similar notion developed for the role that H+1 may play in the early stages of the respiratory burst in neutrophils [68]. However, our calculations indicate that H+1 opening does not acidify the pit, and if anything, protons leave the pit (Fig. 5A). That said, several groups have shown that Zn2+, a potent inhibitor of H+1, hinders bone resorption by osteoclasts [30], enhances bone formation in tissue culture [69], and also influences the course of osteoporosis [70]. While it is compelling to imagine that Zn2+ reduces bone breakdown by blocking H+1 and preventing proton movement into the pit, we hypothesize that H+1 channels influence osteoclast function by tuning the membrane potential. In the scenario where H+1 and proton leak channels localize to the ruffled border and K+ channels are turned off, the membrane depolarizes to ~0 mV prior to acidification (yellow curve in Fig. 5B). Depolarization of the membrane, aided by H+1, may result in a transient rise in cytoplastic calcium (Ca2+) in the first few minutes that triggers lysosomal fusion to the ruffled border, which is required for osteoclast function. Indeed, it is known that the exocytosis of lysosomes is Ca2+ mediated [71], and the lysosomal cation channel mucolipin 1 has been implicated in release in non-resorbing cells [72]. Moreover, Ca2+ ‘puffs’ have been observed in osteoclasts [73], and such brief bursts were previously suggested to play a role in maintenance of the ruffled border [12]. We speculate that in resorbing osteoclasts, once the ruffled border has become electrically isolated from the rest of the cell, H+1 activation may depolarize the ruffled border causing a short, localized Ca2+ spike that initiates lysosomal fusion with the membrane. However, we note that prolonged increase in cytoplasnic Ca2+, through the plasma membrane ryanodine receptor, results in osteoclast detachment and a decrease in resorption [74]. For this reason, the short depolarization in Fig. 5B followed by fast repolarization as acidification starts may be important for suppressing Ca2+ levels to promote resorption.

As mentioned earlier, CIC−7 alone produces the greatest level of acidification. If K+ channels remain open in the ruffled border, the final pH of the ECC in Fig. 5A is 1 pH less acidic (not shown). Alkalinization occurs because the extracellular space, and hence the nascent ECC, has very little potassium (~5 mM), and K+ flows into the pit where it builds up a positive charge. Additionally, K+ channels suppress the depolarization of VΨ, that occurs in the first 2 min prior to proton pumping, keeping the voltage clamped at −71 mV. Interestingly, if the ruffled border retains a small Cl− leak channel (10^−7 cm/s), in addition to H+1, then VΨ depolarizes to −16.5 mV prior to proton pumping, consistent with the value of −17 mV observed electrophysiologically [66]. Unfortunately, the membrane potential of the ruffled border has not been quantitatively measured during acidification.

Mutations in the CAIL gene are associated with bone disease [36], and Carano and co-workers have shown that the source for H+−dependent acidification is CO2 via CAIL and Cl−−bicarbonate exchange [75]. Ideally we would have used the model to determine if the rate of H+ and HCO3− production by CAIL influences the pH of the pit. We expect that reducing the CAIL rate, mimicking mutations to the CAIL gene that render it less effective, will reduce the number of protons liberated in the cytoplasm during acidification of the pit. As the number of protons in the cytoplasm becomes depleted, it will become more difficult to acidify the pit due to both kinetic and thermodynamic factors. While this scenario is plausible, it will require quantitative modeling to determine if it correctly describes the underlying biological process.

The model presented here does not include components that likely affect osteoclast function. For instance, we did not include calcium, which is found in high concentration in deposited bone, and bone degradation liberates large amount of Ca2+. However, the in vitro experiments modeled in Figs. 5 and 6 were carried out on plastic petri dishes potentially mitigating the absence of Ca2+ from the model. Nonetheless, future modeling efforts will focus on the role of Ca2+ buffering, Ca2+ endocytosis, Ca2+ membrane fluxes, and potential roles that this ion may have on controlling aspects of resorption through Ca2+ receptors and changes in cytoplasmic calcium [76]. Vesicular transport of ECC material from the ruffled border to the plasma membrane is also an important feature of bone break down, which requires additional attention [77]. We also treated the buffering power of the ECC in a relatively simple manner assuming that it is a series of buffers characterized by a constant buffering capacity similar to values measured for lysosomes (Table 1). The ECC is composed of dissolved bone and lysosomal internal contents each of which has a complex makeup of polymer, protein, metabolites and ions. Future efforts will treat these substances with greater chemical accuracy based on known properties from the literature. We also treated the Na+ and K+ currents generated by the Na+/K+/ATPase in a very simple manner by assuming a constant flux over time. If we include a more realistic model of the ATPase from the literature, it will allow us to better understand the regulatory feedback that may exist between the Na+ dependent NHE transporter and membrane voltage, which are set by cytoplastic K+ levels. Finally, as the ionic concentration of the ECC changes during
acidi
cification, the active osmolyte content will also change creating a
driving potential for water movement. We modeled the influence of geo-
metry on the speed of acidification in Fig. 8, but the geometries were
constant throughout each simulation. A more satisfying approach
would be to model the physical and chemical forces driving geometry
changes in the pit to better understand how, and if, acidification is
coupled to shape.

5. Conclusion

We have assembled a comprehensive list of biophysical parameters
from the literature that describe the conditions related to actively re-
sorbing osteoclasts including the extracellular environment, cytoplasm, and
extracellular compartment including relevant ion concentrations,
geometric factors, buffering powers, and reaction rates (see Tables 1
and 2). These values are needed to construct a quantitative model of re-
sorption, and they form a basis from which future modifications to
the model can be made. The model does a good job at semi-quantitatively
describing experiments involving pH changes in the cytoplasm and
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